

## Choosing from the Many Flavors of PostgreSQL Replication

**Richard Yen** PG Conf Silicon Valley 2019



This is not an EDB talk!

# Disclaimer

## Why Replication?

- Because one copy is not enough!
  - Fault-Tolerance
  - Scalability
  - Recoverability
  - People want "real" data to work with

## Which one is for me?

- DRBD Streaming replication
- Logical replication
- Slony pg\_shard
- Bucardo
- Londiste

- Corosync
- 2ndQ BDR

- pglogical
- Daffodil

- Mammoth
- Pgpool
- **EDB** Replication Server
- Spectral Core **Replicator Pro**
- And More!

#### It all boils down to... Trigger-based | WAL-based

## **Trigger-based Replication**

- Relies on PostgreSQL's trigger mechanism to log all DML into event/ shadow tables
- other nodes
- Very reliable: replicating production environments since 2003

Data from shadow tables ingested by another program and replayed to

## **Trigger-based Replication**

- Main players
  - Slony written in C
  - Londiste written in Python
  - Bucardo written in Perl

# Trigger-based Pros & Cons

- Select which tables to replicate
- Enables row-based/column-based replication
- Major-version upgrades
- Master-master replication (Bucardo only)

# Trigger-based Pros & Cons

- Primary keys required
- Limited ability to replicate DDL
- Large object replication not available
- Trigger activity generates additional I/O
- Event tracking consumes network bandwidth
- Hard to scale up
- Infrastructure is brittle

### WAL-based Replication

- WAL files are the mechanism to recover from crashes
- WAL files can be used to replay DML on remote servers
- Streaming WAL activity effectively creates an up-to-date clone

### WAL-based Pros & Cons

- It's fast
- Easy to set up
- Requires no additional software, I/O, bandwidth
- Exact replica provides assurance for backups
- Exact replica means all data types are supported
- Synchronous replication is possible

## WAL-based Pros & Cons

- All or nothing
- No major version upgrades
- Query cancellations
- Storage impact on primary
  - wal\_keep\_segments
  - replication slots

- Introduced in v. 10
- Based off Logical Decoding framework introduced in v. 9.4
- WAL files are reconstructed into SQL statements
- Paves the way to more granular replication filters
- Happy-medium

#### Middle Ground: Logical Replication

## Best of Both Worlds?

- Like Trigger-based Replication:
  - Still need uniqueness/PK
  - DDL is not replicated
  - Sequences are not replicated
- Like WAL-based Replication
  - Still need to monitor storage impact
  - Can't create column-level filters (yet)

#### **Additional Gotchas**

- WAL events are unrolled into individual SQL queries
  - COPY statements are translated into INSERTs
  - UPDATE on 1000 rows are translated into 1000 individual UPDATEs
- TRUNCATEs are replicated, but not cascaded
- Partitioned tables cannot be easily replicated

- DRBD, Corosync, Windows Cluster
  - Basically, mirrors a disk or other block-level device
  - Doesn't support read-only standby
  - Use cases for these are somewhat rare
- Use at your own discretion

#### Other options

## How do you choose?

It all depends on your requirements Tolerances, privacy, etc.

#### **Process of Elimination**

#### Start with built-in Streaming Replication

- Super-simple to set up
- No additional software
- Best performance, least impact
- Good for most use-cases

#### Streaming

## eplication

## Next, try Logical Replication

- Pick and choose which tables to replicate
- WAL-based replication performance
- Zero-downtime major-version upgrades
- Only available in v. 10 and later

#### Streaming

## Logica

### eplication

#### olication

# Next, try pglogical or EPRS

- **Replication engines supporting Logical Decoding** 
  - pglogical
  - EnterpriseDB Postgres Replication Server 7 (EPRS7 Limited Availability)
- Row-level and column-level filters
- Still WAL-based

#### Streaming

## Logical F

## be be be a construction of the construction of

## **Application**

#### plication

## EPRS7

## Use a Trigger-based Solution

## Use a Trigger-based Solution

- But please upgrade soon!
- Impacts performance
- Often difficult to administration
- Logical Replication is the future



## Multi-Master Replication?

## **Multi-Master Replication?**

- Holy Grail of replication solutions?
- First, ask yourself if you REALLY need it
  - Consistency
  - Performance
  - Conflict Resolution
- Often, the other options are good enough



## Multi-Master Replication

- Trigger-based
  - Bucardo
  - XDB by EnterpriseDB
- WAL-based
  - **Bi-Directional Replication (BDR) by 2nd Quadrant** 
    - requires a custom compile/installation of PG
  - XDB/EPRS7 by EnterpriseDB





#### Thank You!

richyen.com

**Richard Yen** Principal Support Engineer, EnterpriseDB support@enterprisedb.com